

NON-ELEMENTARY FANO CONIC BUNDLES

E. A. ROMANO

ABSTRACT. We study a particular kind of fiber type contractions between complex, projective, smooth varieties $f: X \rightarrow Y$, called *Fano conic bundles*. This means that X is a Fano variety, and every fiber of f is isomorphic to a plane conic. Denoting by ρ_X the Picard number of X , we investigate such contractions when $\rho_X - \rho_Y > 1$, called *non-elementary*. We prove that $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 8$, and we deduce new geometric information about our varieties, depending on $\rho_X - \rho_Y$. Using our result, many examples of elementary Fano conic bundles are given. Moreover, when X is locally factorial with canonical singularities and with at most finitely many non-terminal points, we consider fiber type K_X -negative contractions $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with one-dimensional fibers, and we show that $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 9$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a complex, projective, smooth and Fano variety of dimension n . In this paper we study a particular kind of fiber type contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$, called *Fano conic bundle*. This means that f is a morphism between smooth projective varieties where every fiber is isomorphic to a conic in the projective plane. The main references for the background material on conic bundles are given in Section 2.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of one cycles with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence, whose dimension is the *Picard number* ρ_X . Let us consider the convex cone $\text{NE}(X)$ in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$, spanned by the classes of effective curves. Being X a Fano manifold, by the Cone Theorem it follows that $\text{NE}(X)$ is closed, polyhedral, and it is spanned by finitely many classes of rational curves.

Let us denote by $\text{NE}(f)$ the *relative cone* of f , that is the convex subcone of $\text{NE}(X)$ containing all classes of curves that are contracted by the conic bundle. In our case, one has that $\dim \text{NE}(f) = \rho_X - \rho_Y$.

The aim of this paper is the study of Fano conic bundles where the dimension of the relative cone is greater than one, that are called *non-elementary*.

Our purpose is to deduce geometric information on our varieties and properties of the conic bundle, depending on the dimension of the relative cone.

In [21, §4] Wiśniewski studied the following problem: given a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$, is Y Fano or not? Our main Theorem allows us to give an answer, in some cases.

A first formulation of our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. Then $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 8$. Moreover:*

- (1) *if $\rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 4$, then $X \cong S \times T$, where S is a del Pezzo surface, T is an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times T$ so that Y is Fano, and f is induced by a conic bundle on S .*
- (2) *If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, then f has only reduced fibers, Y is a Fano variety, and there exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration¹ $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$, where Y' is smooth and Fano.*
- (3) *If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ and Y is not Fano, then there exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$, where Y' is smooth.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we will deduce the following result which shows that the target of a Fano conic bundle is often Fano.

Corollary 1.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. If Y is not Fano, then $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ or $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 1$. If moreover $\rho_Y \leq 2$, or Y does not have a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration, then $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 1$.*

Note that the bound $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 8$ of Theorem 1.1 is sharp, because we get the equality when $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a conic bundle on a del Pezzo surface X with $\rho_X = 9$. Moreover, in Subsection 5.1 we give an example of a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ where X is not a product and $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, so that also the bound $\rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 4$ is sharp.

The author knows no example with $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ and Y not Fano. On the other hand, there exist Fano conic bundles $f: X \rightarrow Y$, where Y is not Fano and $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 1$. Indeed, in Subsection 5.2 we first review Wiśniewski's example of a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in which Y is not a Fano variety (see [21, Example §4]), then using Corollary 1.2 we deduce that f is elementary.

Finally we point out that, using Wiśniewski's construction for every odd integer $m \geq 3$, one gets always elementary Fano conic bundles onto varieties Y that are $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^m$, and $-K_Y$ is nef and big.

Besides the smooth case, we will also analyse the case in which X has some mild singularities, where we prove the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a locally factorial, projective, Fano variety with canonical singularities and with at most finitely many non-terminal points. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fiber type contraction with one-dimensional fibers. Then $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 9$.*

Let us describe in more detail the content of this paper. In Section 2 we set up notation and terminology, and we present some preliminaries on conic bundles and their most important geometric properties.

We conclude this section by recalling some basic facts and results related to the Minimal Model Program (MMP) for divisors in Fano manifold, and we summarize without proofs the relevant material that will be needed.

¹A smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration is a smooth morphism with fibers isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

In Section 3, we discuss some results that will be essential to investigate conic bundles in the next sections. In particular, in Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 we show that there exists a particular factorization of our Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ into elementary contractions. More precisely, if $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$, using this factorization we find $r - 1$ smooth prime divisors of Y , pairwise disjoint, that according to these two Propositions we denote by A_i , for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$. We prove that the fibers of f over A_i are reducible and that for every $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$, $f^*(A_i) = E_i + \hat{E}_i$, where $E_i, \hat{E}_i$ are prime divisors of X , which play an essential role throughout the paper.

Another main geometric ingredient is represented by an invariant of X , the *Lefschetz defect* δ_X , whose definition is the following:

$$\delta_X := \max\{\dim \operatorname{coker}(i_*: \mathcal{N}_1(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1(X)) \mid D \hookrightarrow X \text{ a prime divisor}\}.$$

This invariant was introduced in [7] in the smooth case, where the author proved that $\delta_X \leq 8$. We refer the reader to [7] and [17] for the properties of δ_X . In this paper, using the numerical classes of the divisor $E_i, \hat{E}_i$, we are able to find some lower-bounds for δ_X (in terms of $\rho_X - \rho_Y$), that we need to show Theorem 1.1. We conclude this section with the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Section 4 contains the central part of the paper: our main result is stated and proved (see Theorem 4.2), and some corollaries are discussed. Moreover, we show Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

Let us summarize the strategy used to prove Theorem 1.1. To show (1) we first prove that $\delta_X \geq 4$. Then, by [7, Theorem 1.1] we know that X is a product of a surface with another variety, and looking at the fibers of the Fano conic bundle it is easy to deduce the rest of the statement.

The proof of (2) is more complex and will be divided into some steps. We first analyse the simpler case in which X is a product of a surface with another variety, and we get the claim with a similar method adopted in (1). Then we treat the case in which X is not such a product, and we use some results related to the MMP for divisors in Fano variety. In particular, we apply MMP to one divisor among $E_i, \hat{E}_i$, for some $i = 1, 2$. In this way, we get a prime divisor of X that dominates the target Y of our conic bundle. This divisor is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle and we will see that the images of its fibers through the conic bundle give a family of rational curves which span an extremal ray of Y , whose contraction is the smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ required by the statement. Finally, we prove that the conic bundle has only reduced fibers, and we see that this condition implies that Y and Y' are both Fano.

The proof of (3) adopts the same technique of (2): the idea is still to apply the MMP to a prime divisor of X to get another prime divisor of X which dominates Y , and in the same way as before we are able to find a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration.

We conclude with Section 5, where applying our main Theorem we obtain some examples and related results as we have already announced.

Acknowledgements. I wish to express my thanks to my PhD advisor Cinzia Casagrande for having introduced me to the subject. I am grateful for her constant guidance, for her several and essential suggestions, and for everything I learnt thanks to her.

The final part of this work was written during a visit to the Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences, and it was partially supported by the grant 346300 for IMPAN from the Simons Foundation and the matching 2015-2019 Polish MNiSW fund. I would like to thank IMPAN for the kind hospitality.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

We work over the field of complex numbers.

Let X be a normal projective and $\mathbb{Q}$ -factorial variety with arbitrary dimension n .

X is called a *Fano variety* if $-K_X$ admits a nonzero multiple which is Cartier and ample.

We denote by X_{reg} the smooth locus of X .

For the definitions and properties of canonical and terminal singularities, we refer the reader to [13].

X is *locally factorial* if all its local rings are UFD; by [11, II, Proposition 6.11], this implies that every Weil divisor of X is Cartier.

$\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$) is the $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of one-cycles (respectively, Cartier divisors) with real coefficients, modulo numerical equivalence. $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(X) = \dim \mathcal{N}^1(X) =: \rho_X$ is the *Picard number* of X .

Let C be a one-cycle of X . We denote by $[C]$ the numerical equivalence class in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$, by $\mathbb{R}[C]$ the one-dimensional vector space that $[C]$ spans in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$, and by $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[C]$ the corresponding ray.

Let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor in X . We denote by $[D]$ its numerical equivalence class in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$.

The symbol $\equiv$ stands for numerical equivalence (for both one-cycles and $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors).

If $D \subset X$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor, we define $D^\perp := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{N}_1(X) \mid \gamma \cdot D = 0\}$.

$\text{NE}(X) \subset \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ is the convex cone generated by classes of effective curves, and $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ is its closure.

An *extremal ray* R of X is a one-dimensional face of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$.

$\text{locus}(R) \subseteq X$ is the union of all curves whose class is in R .

If R is an extremal ray of X and D is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor in X , we say that $D \cdot R > 0$ (respectively $D \cdot R = 0$, etc.) if for every $\gamma \in R \setminus \{0\}$ we have $D \cdot \gamma > 0$ (respectively $D \cdot \gamma = 0$, etc.).

A *contraction* of X is a surjective morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ with connected fibers, where Y is normal and projective.

The push-forward of one-cycles defined by φ is a surjective linear map $\varphi_*: \mathcal{N}_1(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$.

A contraction $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is *elementary* if $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 1$.

We denote by $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$ the *exceptional locus* of φ , *i.e.* the locus where φ is not an isomorphism.

We say that φ is of *fiber type* if $\dim X > \dim Y$, otherwise φ is birational.

When φ is elementary and birational, we say that φ is *divisorial* if $\text{Exc}(\varphi)$ is a prime divisor of X and *small* if its codimension is greater than 1.

A contraction of X is called *K_X -negative* (or simply *K -negative*) if the canonical divisor K_X of X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and $-K_X \cdot C > 0$ for every curve C contracted by φ . The *relative cone* $\text{NE}(\varphi)$ is the face of $\overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ generated by classes of curves contracted by φ , hence $\text{NE}(\varphi) = \overline{\text{NE}}(X) \cap \text{Ker}(\varphi_*)$.

If $Z \subseteq X$ is a closed subset and $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion, we set $\mathcal{N}_1(Z, X) := i_*(\mathcal{N}_1(Z)) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_1(X)$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{N}_1(Z, X)$ is the linear subspace of $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ spanned by classes of curves contained in Z . Working with $\mathcal{N}_1(Z, X)$ instead $\mathcal{N}_1(Z)$ means that we consider curves in Z modulo numerical equivalence in X , instead of numerical equivalence in Z . Notice that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(Z, X) \leq \rho_Z$.

A smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration is a smooth morphism whose fibers are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$.

A $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle is the projectivization of a rank 2 vector bundle.

2.1. Preliminaries on conic bundles. Let X and Y be smooth, projective varieties.

A *conic bundle* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a fiber type contraction whose fibers are isomorphic to plane conics; *i.e.* every fiber is isomorphic as a scheme to a zero set of a non-trivial section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$.

A *Fano conic bundle* $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a conic bundle where X is a Fano variety.

We refer the reader to [21, §4] and to [3] for equivalent definitions of conic bundles and their properties; [19, §7.1] summarizes the relevant material on such contractions, giving in particular a survey on Mori and Mukai's results for Fano 3-folds. We set:

$$\Delta_f := \{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ is singular}\}.$$

We recall from [20, §1.7] that Δ_f is a reduced divisor of Y that we call the *discriminant divisor* of f , and by [20, Proposition 1.8, (5.c)] we have:

$$\text{Sing}(\Delta_f) = \{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ is non-reduced}\}.$$

In [3, §1.5] it is explained how, working with a conic bundle and by considering the components of the fibers in the appropriate Hilbert scheme of lines, it is possible to get a double cover over the discriminant divisor, étale over its regular points.

We conclude this subsection with some fundamental results on conic bundles. In particular thanks to the following theorem, we observe that Fano conic bundles can be easily characterized among contractions of smooth Fano varieties.

Theorem 2.1 ([2], Theorem 3.1). *Let X be a smooth, projective variety, and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fiber type K_X -negative contraction with one-dimensional fibers. Then Y is smooth and f is a conic bundle.*

Let X be a smooth Fano variety and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a contraction. By [5, §2.5], $\text{NE}(Y)$ is the linear projection of $\text{NE}(X)$ from $\text{NE}(f)$, thus using that every extremal ray of $\text{NE}(Y)$ comes via f_* from an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(X)$, we can give a reformulation of [21, Proposition 4.3]:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. Assume that Y is not Fano. Let R be an extremal ray of Y such that $-K_Y \cdot R \leq 0$. Then $\text{locus}(R) \subseteq \text{Sing}(\Delta_f)$.*

By the above proposition we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. If f does not have non-reduced fibers, then Y is Fano.*

Proof. By [5, Lemma 2.6], we know that $\text{NE}(Y)$ is closed, hence to prove the statement it is enough to observe that $-K_Y \cdot R > 0$ for every extremal ray R of Y . This assertion follows by Proposition 2.2. $\square$

Remark 2.4. When $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fano conic bundle, Corollary 2.3 gives a positive answer to Ejiri's question (see [10, Question 6.1]). Indeed, the fibers of the conic bundle f have semi-log canonical singularities if and only if they are reduced (see [1, Definition 1.3 and Example 1.4]).

In general, every fiber type contraction $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from a Fano variety and with one-dimensional fibers gives a positive answer to Ejiri's question, because by Theorem 2.1 it follows that f is a Fano conic bundle.

2.2. Preliminaries on Special MMP's for divisors in Fano manifolds. We refer the reader to [12, 13] for background on the Minimal Model Program (MMP) on Mori dream spaces, and to [8, 5] for the specific properties that we will use on Fano varieties.

By [4, 12], we know that it is possible run a MMP for any divisor on a Fano manifold.

An important remark is that when X is Fano, there is always a suitable choice of a MMP where all involved extremal rays have positive intersection with the anticanonical divisor (see for instance [7, Proposition 2.4]). In this last case, according to [7], we call the MMP a *Special MMP*.

We give now a technical lemma that will be needed in Section 4. It is obtained adapting similar techniques of [8, Lemma 2.1] to our specific situation. We first recall the following:

Proposition 2.5 ([7], Proposition 2.5). *Let X be a Fano manifold and $D \subset X$ a prime divisor. Suppose that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = s > 0$.*

Then there exist $s - 1$ pairwise disjoint smooth prime divisors $G_i \subset X$, with $i = 1, \dots, s - 1$, such that every G_i is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with $G_i \cdot g_i = -1$, where $g_i \subset G_i$ is a fiber; moreover $D \cdot g_i > 0$ and $[g_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$. In particular $G_i \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $G_i \neq D$.

Lemma 2.6. *In the situation of Proposition 2.5, we have that $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$, $\mathbb{R}[g_1], \dots, \mathbb{R}[g_{s-1}]$ are in direct sum, so that $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_1] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_{s-1}]$ is a hyperplane in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$.*

Proof. We need to recall how the divisors G_i are constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Consider a special MMP for $-D$:

$$X = X_0 \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} X_1 \dashrightarrow \dots \dashrightarrow X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{k-1}} X_k$$

where for every $i = 0, \dots, k - 1$, σ_i is either divisorial contraction, or the flip, of an extremal ray R_i of X_i , such that $D_i \cdot R_i > 0$ and $-K_{X_i} \cdot R_i > 0$

where $D_i \subset X_i$ is the transform of D , and set $D_0 := D$. Moreover, there is an extremal ray R_k in X_k , whose contraction is of fiber type, such that $D_k \cdot R_k > 0$.

We recall some properties that are shown in [7, Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7] and that we need in our proof.

We have:

- (1) $\#\{i \in \{0, \dots, k\} \mid R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)\} = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = s$;
- (2) Let $B_1 \subset X_1$ be the indeterminacy locus of σ_0^{-1} and for $i = 2, \dots, k$, if σ_{i-1} is a divisorial contraction (respectively, if σ_{i-1} is a flip), let $B_i \subset X_i$ be the union of $\sigma_{i-1}(B_{i-1})$ (respectively, the transform of B_{i-1}) and the indeterminacy locus of σ_{i-1}^{-1} . Then we have $\text{Sing}(X_i) \subseteq B_i \subset D_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, and the birational map $X_i \dashrightarrow X$ is an isomorphism over $X_i \setminus B_i$.

- (3) Let $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ be such that $R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)$.

Then $\sigma_i: X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ is the blow-up of a smooth subvariety of codimension 2, contained in the smooth locus of X_{i+1} . Moreover $\text{Exc}(\sigma_i) \cap B_i = \emptyset$, hence $\text{Exc}(\sigma_i)$ does not intersect the loci of the birational maps σ_l for $l < i$.

- (4) Set $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} := \{i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \mid R_i \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_i, X_i)\}$ and notice that either $t = s-1$ or $t = s$. Let $G_j \subset X$ be the transform of $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \subset X_{i_j}$ for every $j = 1, \dots, s-1$.

Then G_j is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $g_j \subset G_j$ such that $G_j \cdot g_j = -1$, $D \cdot g_j > 0$ and $[g_j] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$. In particular $G_j \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $G_j \neq D$.

- (5) The prime divisors $G_1, \dots, G_{s-1}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Since $G_j \cdot g_j = -1$ and $G_i \cdot g_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, it is easy to see that the classes $[g_1], \dots, [g_{s-1}]$ are linearly independent. We have to prove that $\mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \cap (\mathbb{R}[g_1] \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_{s-1}]) = \{0\}$.

Let $\sigma_{i_j}: X_{i_j} \rightarrow X_{i_{j+1}}$ be the blow-up as in (3), so that G_j is the transform in X of $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j})$, for every $j = 1, \dots, s-1$. By (3), $\text{Exc}(\sigma_{i_j}) \cap B_{i_j} = \emptyset$.

Suppose $\lambda_1[g_1] + \dots + \lambda_{s-1}[g_{s-1}] \in \mathcal{N}_1(D, X)$ for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{s-1} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Set $\Gamma := \lambda_1 g_1 + \dots + \lambda_{s-1} g_{s-1}$ and consider the map $X \dashrightarrow X_{i_{s-1}}$.

For every $j < i_{s-1}$, g_{s-1} is contained in the open subset where σ_j is an isomorphism (see (2)), so the transform of Γ in $X_{i_{s-1}}$ is $\Gamma_{i_{s-1}} = \lambda_{s-1} g_{s-1}$ (for simplicity, we still denote by g_{s-1} its transform along the MMP).

By [8, Lemma 2.1] (with $G = D$), we get $\lambda_{s-1}[g_{s-1}] \in \mathcal{N}_1(D_{i_{s-1}}, X_{i_{s-1}})$.

By the construction of the MMP we have $R_{i_{s-1}} \not\subset \mathcal{N}_1(D_{i_{s-1}}, X_{i_{s-1}})$ and $[g_{s-1}] \in R_{i_{s-1}}$, hence $[g_{s-1}] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(D_{i_{s-1}}, X_{i_{s-1}})$, and $\lambda_{s-1} = 0$.

We can proceed in this way, repeating the same method for every $j < i_k$ where $k \leq s-2$, until $k = 1$. In this way, we deduce that all coefficients λ_k in Γ are equal to zero, hence our statement. $\square$

Finally, for the reader's convenience, we conclude this subsection by recalling two last results that will be needed in the proof of our main Theorem.

Remark 2.7 ([7, Remark 3.1.3, (3)]). Let X be a projective manifold, $G \subset X$ a smooth prime divisor which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $g \subset G$, and $E \subset X$ a prime divisor with $E \cdot g > 0$. Then for every irreducible curve $C \subset G$ we have $C \equiv \lambda g + \mu C'$, where C' is an irreducible curve contained in $G \cap E$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mu \geq 0$.

Proposition 2.8 ([7], Lemma 3.2.25). *Let G be a smooth projective variety and $\pi: G \rightarrow W$ a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $g \subset G$. Moreover, let $f: G \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism onto a smooth projective variety Y , such that $\dim f(g) = 1$. Suppose that there exists a prime divisor $A \subset Y$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1(A, Y) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$ and $f^*(A) \cdot g > 0$. Then there exists a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi \\ W & \longrightarrow & Y' \end{array}$$

where Y' is smooth and ξ is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration.

3. FIBER TYPE K -NEGATIVE CONTRACTION WITH ONE-DIMENSIONAL FIBERS

The first results of this section can be viewed as a generalization to higher dimension of what S. Mori and S. Mukai studied for conic bundles on Fano 3-folds in [15]. In particular we refer to the following:

Proposition 3.1 ([15], Proposition 4.9). *Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a Fano conic bundle over a projective surface S , and C an irreducible curve on S such that $f^{-1}(C)$ is reducible. Then we have:*

- (1) C is a smooth component of Δ_f ;
- (2) $f^{-1}(C)$ is a union of effective divisors E_1, E_2 such that $f|_{E_i}: E_i \rightarrow C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle for $i = 1, 2$;
- (3) there are a conic bundle $g_i: X_i \rightarrow S$ and a morphism $f_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ which is the contraction of all fibers of $f|_{E_i}$ such that $g_i \circ f_i = f$ for both $i = 1, 2$;
- (4) $\Delta_f = \Delta_{g_i} \sqcup C$ and $\rho_X = \rho_{X_i} + 1$ for $i = 1, 2$;
- (5) $\Delta_{g_1} = \Delta_{g_2}$ and $\rho_{X_1} = \rho_{X_2}$.

We are going to analyse in detail the situation in higher dimension.

We first study what happens when the variety has some mild singularities. Then we see how, taking X smooth, we get conic bundles whose many geometric properties will be studied in deep in the next section.

To prove our first proposition in the singular case, we need the following:

Theorem 3.2 ([17], Theorem 1.2). *Let X be a projective, locally factorial variety with canonical singularities and with at most finitely many non-terminal points. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be an elementary birational K -negative contraction whose fibers are at most one-dimensional. Then:*

- (1) every non-trivial fiber of φ is irreducible, has no multiple one dimensional components and its reduced structure is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover the general non-trivial fiber is smooth, i.e. it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ as scheme;
- (2) the contraction φ is divisorial, and denoting by $E = \text{Exc}(\varphi)$, one has $\dim \varphi(E) = n - 2$. Moreover $K_X = \varphi^*(K_Y) + E$;
- (3) Y has canonical singularities and at most finitely many non terminal points;
- (4) let C be an irreducible curve of X such that $[C] \in \text{NE}(\varphi)$. We have that $K_X \cdot C = E \cdot C = -1$.

Remark 3.3. The crucial statement in the theorem above is that φ is divisorial. In [17, Example 1.11], the author shows that the assumption on the non-terminal locus cannot be weakened. Indeed, for every $n \geq 3$, there is an n -dimensional Fano variety X with canonical singularities and with an elementary small contraction whose exceptional locus is one-dimensional.

Lemma 3.4. *In the context of Theorem 3.2, Y is a locally factorial variety.*

Proof. This is a standard property, we give the proof for the reader's convenience.

Let $D \subset Y$ be a prime Weil divisor, and $\tilde{D} \subset X$ its transform, that is a Cartier divisor because X is locally factorial.

Set $E := \text{Exc}(\varphi)$. By Proposition 3.2 (4), if $C \subset X$ is an irreducible curve such that $[C] \in \text{NE}(\varphi)$, then $E \cdot C = -1$. Thus $(\tilde{D} + \lambda E) \cdot C = 0$, where $\lambda = \tilde{D} \cdot C \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\tilde{D} + \lambda E$ is a Cartier divisor and by [9, Theorem 7.39 (c)] we get $\tilde{D} + \lambda E = \varphi^*(B)$, where B is an effective Cartier divisor of Y . Then, $\text{Supp}(B) = \text{Supp}(D)$ in Y , so that $B = mD$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. By restricting to the open subset where φ is an isomorphism, we get $m = 1$, so that D is a Cartier divisor of Y . $\square$

Now we are ready to give our first result, that will be essential to investigate conic bundles.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a locally factorial, projective variety with canonical singularities and with at most finitely many non-terminal points.*

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fiber type K -negative contraction such that every fiber has dimension one. Set $\dim \text{NE}(f) = \rho_X - \rho_Y = r$. Then:

(1) *f has the following factorization:*

$$X \xrightarrow{f_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} X_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{r-2} \xrightarrow{f_{r-1}} X_{r-1} \xrightarrow{g} Y$$

where f_i is an elementary K -negative divisorial contraction, X_i is locally factorial, with canonical singularities and at most finitely many non-terminal points for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$, and g is an elementary fiber type K -negative contraction with one-dimensional fibers.


(2) *There are $A_1, \dots, A_{r-1}$ prime divisors of Y and $r-1$ pairs of prime divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i$ of X such that $f^*(A_i) = E_i + \hat{E}_i$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, for every $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, r-1$. Moreover, every fiber of g over $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{r-1}$ is irreducible and generically reduced, and the general fiber of f is numerically equivalent to $e_i + \hat{e}_i$ where $e_i, \hat{e}_i$ are irreducible components of fibers of f such that $e_i \subset E_i$, $\hat{e}_i \subset \hat{E}_i$, $E_i \cdot e_i = \hat{E}_i \cdot \hat{e}_i = -1$ and $\hat{E}_i \cdot e_i = E_i \cdot \hat{e}_i = 1$.*

(3) *If $r > 1$, $\text{NE}(f)$ has exactly $2(r-1)$ extremal rays, generated by $[e_i]$, $[\hat{e}_i]$, for $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.*

Our geometric situation is represented in Figure 1. The pairs of divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i$ will be crucial for our purposes.

Proof. We prove (1) and (2) by induction on $\rho_X - \rho_Y = r$. The case $r = 1$ is trivial.

Assume that $r > 1$, and that the statement holds when the dimension of the relative cone is less than r .

FIGURE 1. Factorization of f and divisors of Propositions 3.5.

Let R be an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(f)$ and let $f_1: X \rightarrow X_1$ be its contraction. Being $\text{NE}(f_1) \subset \text{NE}(f)$, then there exists a morphism $g_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y$ such that $f = g_1 \circ f_1$. One has that f_1 is K -negative, because f is.

We observe that f_1 is birational. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that f_1 is a fiber type contraction. In this case, we have that g_1 is a finite morphism: indeed, if there exists an irreducible curve $C \subset X_1$ such that $g_1(C) = p$, then $f^{-1}(p)$ has dimension greater than one, against our assumption. Hence $\text{NE}(f) = \text{NE}(f_1)$ and f is an elementary contraction, while we are assuming that $r > 1$.

Thus f_1 is birational, and it has one-dimensional fibers, so that it is divisorial by Theorem 3.2 (2), and g_1 is a fiber type contraction with one-dimensional fibers.

By Theorem 3.2 (3), one has that X_1 has canonical singularities with at most finitely many non-terminal points, and by Lemma 3.4 we know that X_1 is locally factorial.

By Theorem 3.2 (2), we also deduce that $A'_{r-1} := f_1(\text{Exc}(f_1))$ is irreducible of codimension 2.

We observe that g_1 is a finite morphism on A'_{r-1} : if there is a curve $C \subset A'_{r-1}$ contracted by g_1 to a point $p \in Y$, $f^{-1}(p)$ would have dimension greater than one, against our hypothesis. Hence $A_{r-1} := g_1(A'_{r-1})$ is an irreducible divisor of Y .

We show that g_1 is K -negative. Let $C \subset X_1$ be an irreducible curve such that $g_1(C) = \{pt\}$. Then $C \not\subset A'_{r-1}$ and consider $\tilde{C}$ the transform of C in X , so that $(f_1)_*(\tilde{C}) = C$.

By Theorem 3.2 (2), $K_X = f_1^*(K_{X_1}) + E$ where $E = \text{Exc}(f_1)$. Then:

$$-K_{X_1} \cdot C = -K_{X_1} \cdot (f_1)_*(\tilde{C}) = f_1^*(-K_{X_1}) \cdot \tilde{C} = (-K_X + E) \cdot \tilde{C} > 0.$$

Therefore g_1 is K -negative.

Hence, applying the induction to g_1 , we get (1).

Moreover, still by induction, we get $r - 2$ prime divisors A_i of Y as in Proposition 3.5, pairwise disjoint, such that $g_1^*(A_i) = F_i + \hat{F}_i$, where $F_i, \hat{F}_i$ are prime divisors of X_1 , for every $i = 1, \dots, r - 2$.

The fibers of f have at most two components: indeed, denoting by e the general fiber, one has $-K_X \cdot e = 2$ and since each irreducible component of every fiber of f has anticanonical degree at least 1, there are no more than two components for every fiber.

Using these information we deduce that the fibers of g_1 that meet A'_{r-1} are irreducible, generically reduced and intersect set-theoretically A'_{r-1} in one point.

Indeed, if there is a fiber of g_1 that intersects A'_{r-1} in more than one point, f would have a fiber with more than two components. The same holds if a reducible fiber of g_1 meets A'_{r-1} .

Since all fibers of g_1 over $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{r-2}$ are reducible, we deduce that $A_{r-1} \cap A_i = \emptyset$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r - 2$. Our situation is like in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. Divisors in the proof of Proposition 3.5.

In particular $F_i, \hat{F}_i \subset X_1 \setminus f_1(\text{Exc}(f_1))$; let $E_i, \hat{E}_i \subset X$ be their transforms, so that $f^*(A_i) = E_i + \hat{E}_i$, for every $i = 1, \dots, r - 2$.

Moreover, by what we have already shown, for every $q \in A_{r-1}$, $f^{-1}(q)$ will have two irreducible components: one contracted by f_1 and another one that is the transform of $g_1^{-1}(q)$ in X . Then $f^*(A_{r-1}) = E_{r-1} + \hat{E}_{r-1}$ where E_{r-1} is the exceptional locus of f_1 , and $\hat{E}_{r-1}$ is the transform in X of the irreducible divisor $g_1^{-1}(A_{r-1})$ of X_1 . Hence every fiber of f over A_{r-1} will be numerically equivalent to $e_{r-1} + \hat{e}_{r-1}$ where e_{r-1} and $\hat{e}_{r-1}$ are irreducible components of the fibers such that $e_{r-1} \subset E_{r-1}$ and $\hat{e}_{r-1} \subset \hat{E}_{r-1}$.

Finally by Theorem 3.2 (4), we have $\hat{E}_{r-1} \cdot \hat{e}_{r-1} = -1$. This easily yields the intersections required by the statement.

Now we show (3). By our assumption, $r > 1$. Being $\text{NE}(f)$ generated by the components of the fibers of f , it is spanned by every $[e_i]$, $[\hat{e}_i]$, for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$. Indeed, for every fiber F of f over a point $p \notin A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{r-1}$,

we have three possibilities: F is integral and $F \equiv e_i + \hat{e}_i$; $F = F_1 + F_2$ with $F_1 \equiv F_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(e_i + \hat{e}_i)$ or $F = 2F_0$ with $F_0 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(e_i + \hat{e}_i)$.

We need to show that every $[e_i]$ and $[\hat{e}_i]$ span an extremal ray. To deduce this, we can examine the fibers. Since $\hat{E}_i \cdot \hat{e}_i = -1$ and $\hat{E}_i \cdot e_i = 1$, the hyperplane $\hat{E}_i^\perp$ of $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ cuts $\text{NE}(f)$ in two halfcones:

$\hat{E}_{i>0} = \{[C] \in \text{NE}(f) : \hat{E}_i \cdot C > 0\}$ and $\hat{E}_{i<0} = \{[C] \in \text{NE}(f) : \hat{E}_i \cdot C < 0\}$. Then there exists an extremal ray R in $\text{NE}(f)$ such that $\hat{E}_i \cdot R < 0$ thus $\text{locus}(R) \subset \hat{E}_i$. But $[\hat{e}_i]$ is the only numerical equivalence class of curves of $\text{NE}(f)$ contained in $\hat{E}_i$, hence $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\hat{e}_i]$. Similarly one shows that $R = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[e_i]$ is an extremal ray of $\text{NE}(f)$. $\square$

The following Proposition tells us what happens in the smooth case.

Proposition 3.6. *Notation as in Proposition 3.5. Assume that X is smooth. Then we have:*

- (1) *f is a conic bundle, g is an elementary conic bundle, every A_i is smooth, and f_i is the blow-up of the manifold X_i along a smooth subvariety of codimension 2 that is isomorphic to A_i , for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.*
- (2) *Let $\Delta_f = \{y \in Y \mid f^{-1}(y) \text{ is not a smooth conic}\}$ be the discriminant divisor of f . One has $\Delta_f = A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_{r-1} \sqcup \Delta_g$, and each A_i is a smooth connected component of Δ_f , for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$. Moreover, f has reduced fibers over $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{r-1}$.*

Proof. We first show (1). By [21, Theorem 1.2] it follows that f is a conic bundle, g is an elementary conic bundle, and every f_i is the blow-up of the manifold X_i along a smooth subvariety of codimension 2.

As in the proof of Proposition 3.5, let denote by A'_i the centers of the blow-ups f_i .

In the proof of Proposition 3.5 we have already shown that g is finite on A'_i , and that the fibers of g over A_i are irreducible and intersect A'_i in only one point, for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.

We show that $g|_{A'_i} : A'_i \rightarrow A_i$ is an isomorphism. For this purpose, we prove that the intersection between a fiber of $g|_{A'_i}$ and A'_i is transversal.

Let $p \in A_i$ and let $f_i : X_{i-1} \rightarrow X_i$ be the blow-up of A'_i in the factorization of f . Let Γ be the transform in X_{i-1} of the fiber $g^{-1}(p)$. It easy to check that there exist two fiber type K -negative contractions $g_{i-1} : X_{i-1} \rightarrow Y$ and $g_i : X_i \rightarrow Y$ such that $g_{i-1} = g_i \circ f_i$, hence $-K_{X_{i-1}} \cdot \Gamma > 0$, and $g^{-1}(p)$ is isomorphic to $g_i^{-1}(p)$. Denoting by F the exceptional divisor of f_i in X_{i-1} , we get:

$$F \cdot \Gamma = (f_i^*(-K_{X_i}) + K_{X_{i-1}}) \cdot \Gamma = -K_{X_i} \cdot g_i^{-1}(p) - (-K_{X_{i-1}} \cdot \Gamma) < 2.$$

Hence A'_i is a section of g , and $A'_i \cong A_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r-1$.

Now we prove (2). The inclusion $A_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup A_{r-1} \sqcup \Delta_g \subseteq \Delta_f$ is a simple consequence of some facts that we proved in Proposition 3.5. In particular all fibers over each A_i are reducible and every singular fiber of g does not meet the indeterminacy locus of $f_{r-1} \circ f_{r-2} \circ \dots \circ f_1$, hence it is isomorphic to a singular fiber of f .

On the other hand, if we take $y \in \Delta_f$ such that $y \notin A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r-1}$ one has that $f^{-1}(y)$ is isomorphic to a singular fiber of g , hence $y \in \Delta_g$ and the equality holds.

Moreover, being all fibers over A_i reducible, by [20, Proposition 1.8], we can deduce that every A_i is a smooth component of Δ_f . $\square$

Remark 3.7. In the setting of Proposition 3.6, we observe that the factorization of f , and thus the elementary fiber type contraction g of the factorization, are not unique. Indeed, as we can deduce by the proof of Proposition 3.5, the factorization of f depends by the choice of $r - 1$ extremal rays of $\text{NE}(f)$, one among $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[e_i]$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[\hat{e}_i]$, for every $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$.

On the other hand, taking one factorization of f and denoting by g the elementary conic bundle in this factorization, we know by (2) of the above proposition that $\Delta_f = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r-1} \sqcup \Delta_g$, where A_i 's are components of Δ_f such that $f^*(A_i)$ is reducible. It follows that A_i 's are uniquely determined by f and the same holds for Δ_g .

Finally, notice that the condition $\Delta_f = A_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup A_{r-1}$ is equivalent to the smoothness of g , so that it does not depend on the chosen factorization of f , but only on f .

By Proposition 3.6 (2), f has reduced fibers over $A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_{r-1}$, hence by Remark 3.7 and Corollary 2.3 one gets the following:

Corollary 3.8. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle, and set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$. Let g be as in Proposition 3.6. If g is smooth, then Y is Fano.*

Now, using the $r - 1$ pairs of divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i$ as in Proposition 3.5, it is possible to find a bound for the dimension of the relative cone $\text{NE}(f)$. In particular, we are going to prove Theorem 1.3. To this end, we need the two following lemmas.

Lemma 3.9. *Notation as in Proposition 3.5, and assume that $r \geq 2$. The r numerical equivalence classes $[E_1], [E_2], \dots, [E_{r-1}], [\hat{E}_1]$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{a}_1 \hat{E}_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i E_i \equiv 0$, for some $\hat{a}_1, a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

The intersections with e_i , for every $i = 2, \dots, r - 1$, give us $a_i = 0$, then $\hat{a}_1 \hat{E}_1 + a_1 E_1 \equiv 0$.

Intersecting with e_1 one has $\hat{a}_1 = a_1$ so that $a_1(\hat{E}_1 + E_1) \equiv 0$.

Since $\hat{E}_1 + E_1$ is an effective divisor, it cannot be numerically equivalent to zero, hence $a_1 = 0$. $\square$

The next lemma allows us to find a lower-bound for the *Lefschetz defect* δ_X of X , where we recall that:

$$\delta_X := \max\{\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) \mid D \subset X \text{ a prime divisor}\}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be as in Proposition 3.5, and set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$. If $r \geq 3$, then $\delta_X \geq r - 1$.*

Proof. Let $E_i, \hat{E}_i \subset X$ be the $r - 1$ pairs of prime divisors as in Proposition 3.5. They are such that $E_{r-1} \cap (E_1 \cup \cdots \cup E_{r-2} \cup \hat{E}_1) = \emptyset$, hence we find that $\mathcal{N}_1(E_{r-1}, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap \cdots \cap E_{r-2}^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp$. Lemma 3.9 yields that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_{r-1}, X) \geq r - 1$, thus $\delta_X \geq r - 1$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$. Suppose that $r \geq 3$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. Using Lemma 3.10, we deduce that $\delta_X \geq r - 1$. By [17, Theorem 0.2], $r - 1 \leq 8$, hence $r \leq 9$. $\square$

4. MAIN THEOREM ON NON ELEMENTARY FANO CONIC BUNDLES

This section contains the central part of the paper. Indeed, we are going to investigate the case in which X is smooth and Fano, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a conic bundle. We recall that, being X a Fano variety, f is a *Fano conic bundle*. Our goal is the study of *non-elementary* Fano conic bundles.

Given a non-elementary conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ we can take one factorization as in Propositions 3.5 and 3.6. When the elementary conic bundle of the factorization of f is singular, we can improve Lemma 3.10 in the following way:

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. Let consider a factorization of f as in Proposition 3.5 (1), and denote by g the elementary conic bundle of this factorization. Set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$. If g is singular and $r \geq 2$, then $\delta_X \geq r$.*

Proof. By our assumption, $\Delta_g \neq \emptyset$. Let us consider its inverse image $\tilde{\Delta}_g$ in X . Take an irreducible component of this divisor that we still call $\tilde{\Delta}_g$. Note that $\tilde{\Delta}_g \cap (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_{r-1} \cup \hat{E}_1) = \emptyset$, thus $\mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap \dots \cap E_{r-1}^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp$. Using Lemma 3.9 we get the statement. $\square$

Lemma 3.10 and Lemma 4.1 give both a lower-bound of δ_X , depending on $\rho_X - \rho_Y$. We will often use these results to analyse different cases in the proof of the our main result, that is the following:

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle. Then $\rho_X - \rho_Y \leq 8$. Moreover:*

- (1) *if $\rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 4$, then $X \cong S \times T$, where S is a del Pezzo surface, T is an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times T$ so that Y is Fano, and f is induced by a conic bundle $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Let us denote by $g: X_{r-1} \rightarrow Y$ the elementary conic bundle in a factorization of f as in Propositions 3.5 and 3.6.

- (2) *If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, then g is smooth, Y is a Fano variety, and there exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ where Y' is smooth and Fano.*
- (3) *If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$, and g is singular, then there exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ where Y' is smooth.*

Proof of (1). Set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$. Let us first show that $\delta_X \geq 4$.

If $r > 4$, this inequality follows by Lemma 3.10.

Assume that $r = 4$. We have three pairs of prime divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i \subset X$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, as in Proposition 3.5. Suppose that there exist two pairs such that the numerical classes of the four divisors of the pairs are linearly independent in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$. For simplicity, we can assume that they are $E_1, \hat{E}_1, E_2, \hat{E}_2$. Then $\mathcal{N}_1(E_3, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp \cap E_2^\perp \cap \hat{E}_2^\perp$ and it follows that $\delta_X \geq 4$.

Let assume now that for every two pairs $E_i, \hat{E}_i$, the numerical classes of the four divisors are linearly dependent in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$. This means, for instance,

that $E_1 \equiv \hat{a}\hat{E}_1 + bE_2 + \hat{b}E_2$ for some $\hat{a}, b, \hat{b} \in \mathbb{R}$. The intersection with the fibers e_1 and e_2 gives $E_1 + \hat{E}_1 \equiv b(E_2 + \hat{E}_2)$.

In the same way, from the linear dependence of the numerical classes $[E_1], [\hat{E}_1], [E_3], [\hat{E}_3]$, we get the relation $E_1 + \hat{E}_1 \equiv c(E_3 + \hat{E}_3)$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let A_1, A_2, A_3 be as in Proposition 3.5, so that $f^*(A_i) = E_i + \hat{E}_i$.

Hence $f^*(A_1) \equiv bf^*(A_2) \equiv cf^*(A_3)$ and being $f^*: \mathcal{N}^1(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^1(X)$ injective, one has $A_1 \equiv bA_2 \equiv cA_3$.

By Proposition 3.5 (2), we know that the divisors A_i are pairwise disjoint, so these three divisors are nef, numerically proportional and cut a facet of $\text{NE}(Y)$, whose contraction $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ sends A_1, A_2, A_3 to points (see [5, Lemma 2.6]).

Hence we get a contraction $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{P}^1$. The general fiber G of $\Phi \circ f$ is an irreducible divisor of X disjoint from E_i and $\hat{E}_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3$, thus $\mathcal{N}_1(G, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp \cap E_2^\perp \cap E_3^\perp$. By Lemma 3.9, we find again that $\delta_X \geq 4$.

Since $\delta_X \geq 4$, by [7, Theorem 1.1] we know that $X \cong S \times T$, where S is a del Pezzo surface and T is an $(n-2)$ -dimensional Fano manifold.

We have that $Y \cong S_1 \times T_1$, where S_1, T_1 are smooth projective varieties, and the Fano conic bundle f takes the following form: $f = (h_1, h_2)$ where $h_1: S \rightarrow S_1, h_2: T \rightarrow T_1$.

We get a partition of Y in two subsets:

$Y_1 = \{(p, q) \in Y \mid f^{-1}(p, q) = F_1 \times \{\text{point}\} \text{ where } \dim F_1 = 1\}$ and

$Y_2 = \{(p, q) \in Y \mid f^{-1}(p, q) = \{\text{point}\} \times F_2 \text{ where } \dim F_2 = 1\}$.

By the upper-semicontinuity of fiber dimension there are two possibilities:

(a) $Y_1 = Y$ and $Y_2 = \emptyset$;

(b) $Y_1 = \emptyset$ and $Y_2 = Y$.

Let assume that (a) holds. Then h_1 is a conic bundle on S , $\dim S_1 = 1$, $S_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and h_2 is the identity on T . Hence $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times T$ and the statement follows.

Let now suppose that (b) holds. We have that h_1 is the identity on S , h_2 is a Fano conic bundle on T , and $\rho_T - \rho_{T_1} = \rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 4$.

By induction on the dimension, we deduce that $T \cong S_2 \times T_2$, where S_2 is a del Pezzo surface, T_2 is an $(n-4)$ -dimensional Fano manifold, $T_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times T_2$, and $h_2: T \rightarrow T_1$ is induced by a conic bundle $S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

We can conclude that $X \cong S_2 \times S \times T_2$, $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times S \times T_2$, and f is induced by the conic bundle $S_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, hence we get the statement.

Proof of (2). The proof will be achieved in some steps.

Step 1: The statement holds when $X \cong S \times T$, where S is a del Pezzo surface and T is a Fano manifold.

Proof of Step 1. Keeping the notation used in the proof of (1), we get the same two cases that we call again (a) and (b).

If (a) holds, we deduce as before that $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times T$, and that f is induced by a conic bundle $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. In particular, we get (2).

If (b) holds, we have $Y \cong S \times T_1$, and $f = (id_S, h_2)$, where $h_2 : T \rightarrow T_1$ is a Fano conic bundle with $\rho_T - \rho_{T_1} = \rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$. We can proceed by induction on the dimension. We apply Proposition 3.6 (1) to h_2 , and we denote by g' the elementary conic bundle in the factorization of h_2 . By induction, we find that g' is smooth, and being $g = (id_S, g')$, g is also smooth. Moreover, still by induction, there exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi' : T_1 \rightarrow Y_1$, where Y_1 is smooth and Fano, so that $\xi := (id_S, \xi') : Y \rightarrow S \times Y_1$ is a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration onto a variety that is smooth and Fano, and this shows (2). $\square$

From now on, we suppose that $X \not\cong S \times T$. In particular, by [7, Theorem 1.1] we know that $\delta_X \leq 3$, and by Lemma 3.10 one has that $\delta_X \geq 2$. Thus there are only two possibilities: $\delta_X = 2$ or $\delta_X = 3$.

Recall also that $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, hence by Proposition 3.5 we have two pairs $E_1, \hat{E}_1$ and $E_2, \hat{E}_2$ such that $(E_i + \hat{E}_i) = f^*(A_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $(E_1 \cup \hat{E}_1) \cap (E_2 \cup \hat{E}_2) = \emptyset$.

Step 2: Up to replacing E_1 with $\hat{E}_1$, E_2 or $\hat{E}_2$, there exists a smooth, prime divisor $G_1 \subset X$ that is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $g_1 \subset G_1$ such that $G_1 \cdot g_1 = -1$, $E_1 \cdot g_1 > 0$, $G_1 \neq E_1$, and $[g_1] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$. Moreover, G_1 dominates Y and $f^*(A_2) \cdot g_1 > 0$.

Proof of Step 2. Suppose that there exists D among the divisors $E_1, \hat{E}_1, E_2, \hat{E}_2$ such that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(D, X) = 2$. Assume for simplicity that $D = E_1$. Then $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) = 2$, so that being $\mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) \subseteq E_2^\perp \cap \hat{E}_2^\perp$, by Lemma 3.9 it follows that $\mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) = E_2^\perp \cap \hat{E}_2^\perp$.

Applying Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 to the divisor E_1 , we get one smooth and prime divisor $G_1 \subset X$ that is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber g_1 , such that $G_1 \cdot g_1 = -1$, $E_1 \cdot g_1 > 0$, $G_1 \neq E_1$, and $[g_1] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$ so that $H := \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_1]$ is a hyperplane in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$.

Being $[g_1] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) = E_2^\perp \cap \hat{E}_2^\perp$, then either $E_2 \cdot g_1 \neq 0$ or $\hat{E}_2 \cdot g_1 \neq 0$. We observe that the intersections $E_2 \cdot g_1$ and $\hat{E}_2 \cdot g_1$ cannot be negative. Indeed, if $E_2 \cdot g_1 < 0$, then $E_2 = G_1$ and we get a contradiction because $E_1 \cdot g_1 > 0$, hence $G_1 \cap E_1 \neq \emptyset$, instead $E_2 \cap E_1 = \emptyset$ and similarly for $\hat{E}_2$.

We can assume for simplicity that $E_2 \cdot g_1 > 0$; in particular $E_2 \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$.

We show that $G_1 \cdot e > 0$, where e is the general fiber of $f : X \rightarrow Y$. Recall that $e \equiv e_2 + \hat{e}_2$. By contradiction, if $G_1 \cdot e = 0$, one has $G_1 \cdot e_2 = G_1 \cdot \hat{e}_2 = 0$ (with the same method applied before, we deduce that the intersections $G_1 \cdot e_2$ and $G_1 \cdot \hat{e}_2$ cannot be negative). Then there exists an irreducible curve $\bar{e}_2 \subset G_1$ such that $\bar{e}_2 \equiv e_2$, and applying Remark 2.7 to the divisors G_1 and E_1 , we have $\bar{e}_2 \equiv \lambda g_1 + \mu C'$ where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mu \geq 0$ and C' is an irreducible curve contained in $G_1 \cap E_1$.

The intersection with E_2 gives us $-1 = \lambda E_2 \cdot g_1$, so that $\lambda < 0$.

If we intersect with $\hat{E}_2$ we get $1 = \lambda \hat{E}_2 \cdot g_1$, so that $\hat{E}_2 \cdot g_1 < 0$ that is a contradiction because as observed before $G_1 \neq \hat{E}_2$. Hence, G_1 dominates Y .

Suppose now that $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(E_i, X) = \text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\hat{E}_i, X) = 3$, for $i = 1, 2$.

We choose one among these divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i$, for simplicity E_1 , and we apply to it Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6. In this way, we obtain two disjoint prime divisors of X , G_1 and G_2 , such that every G_i is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle

with fiber $g_i \subset G_i$, and $G_i \cdot g_i = -1$. Moreover, $E_1 \cdot g_i > 0$, $[g_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$, $G_i \neq E_1$ and $H := \mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_1] \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_2]$ is a hyperplane in $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$.

We observe that $E_2^\perp \neq \hat{E}_2^\perp$, because the divisors E_2 and $\hat{E}_2$ are not numerically proportional. Being H a hyperplane, it will be different by at least one among $E_2^\perp$ and $\hat{E}_2^\perp$. Assume that $H \neq E_2^\perp$, the argument in the other case will be the same. If $E_2 \cdot g_1 = E_2 \cdot g_2 = 0$, then $E_2^\perp$ contains $\mathcal{N}_1(E_1, X)$, $[g_1]$, $[g_2]$ and hence H , which is impossible. Up to exchanging G_1 and G_2 , we can assume that $E_2 \cdot g_1 \neq 0$. It is not difficult to check that E_2 and $\hat{E}_2$ are different from G_1 and G_2 , so that $E_2 \cdot g_i \geq 0$, $\hat{E}_2 \cdot g_i \geq 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Finally, being $E_2 \cdot g_1 > 0$ and $\hat{E}_2 \cdot g_1 \geq 0$, one has that $(E_2 + \hat{E}_2) \cdot g_1 = f^*(A_2) \cdot g_1 > 0$. $\square$

Step 3: There exists a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ where Y' is smooth and projective.

Proof of Step 3. Let $G_1 \subset X$ be as in Step 2, and consider the restriction $f|_{G_1}: G_1 \rightarrow Y$. We want to use Proposition 2.8 to deduce the statement.

To this end, we observe that $f|_{G_1}$ is a morphism such that $\dim f(g_1) = 1$, and moreover if we take the divisor A_2 , being $\mathcal{N}_1(A_2, Y) \subseteq A_1^\perp$, we have $\mathcal{N}_1(A_2, Y) \subsetneq \mathcal{N}_1(Y)$ and by Step 2 we get $f^*(A_2) \cdot g_1 = (E_2 + \hat{E}_2) \cdot g_1 > 0$.

Hence, using Proposition 2.8 we obtain the smooth morphism $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$. More precisely, by the proof of the same Proposition (see [7, Lemma 3.2.25]), we deduce that $\text{NE}(\xi) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}[g'_1]$, where $g'_1 := f(g_1)$. $\square$

The situation is represented in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, there exists a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle G_1 which dominates Y , and $g'_1 := f(g_1)$ spans the extremal ray whose contraction is ξ .

We are left to show that g is smooth, where we recall that g is the elementary conic bundle in a factorization of f as in Propositions 3.5 and 3.6. Notice that this implies that both Y and Y' are Fano, by Corollary 3.8.

Thus it is enough to show that g is smooth to get (2).

Step 4: Let assume by contradiction that g is singular. Then the numerical classes $[E_1], [\hat{E}_1], [E_2], [\hat{E}_2]$ are linearly dependent in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$ and there is a fibration $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which sends the divisors A_1, A_2, Δ_g to points.

Proof of Step 4. Let us denote by $\tilde{\Delta}_g$ the inverse image in X of Δ_g . It is disjoint from $E_i, \hat{E}_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, thus $\mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) \subseteq E_1^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp \cap E_2^\perp \cap \hat{E}_2^\perp$.

Since $\delta_X \leq 3$, we deduce that the numerical classes $[E_1], [\hat{E}_1], [E_2], [\hat{E}_2]$ are linearly dependent in $\mathcal{N}^1(X)$.

Now, by the numerical dependence, we get $E_1 + \hat{E}_1 \equiv a(E_2 + \hat{E}_2)$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and again $A_1 \equiv aA_2$. As we explained in the Proof of (1), the nef divisors A_1, A_2 will give the required contraction $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ which sends them to points (observe that the only difference here is that we have only two pairs of prime divisors $E_i, \hat{E}_i$). $\square$

Step 5: $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y'$ and $\Delta_g = \{\text{points}\} \times Y'$.

Proof of Step 5. We have two maps from Y , i.e. $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$, where Φ is finite on the fibers of ξ .

We first prove that the general fiber A_0 of Φ is a Fano variety.

Consider the fiber type K -negative contraction $\Psi := \Phi \circ f: X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{P}^1$, whose general fiber is $f^{-1}(A_0)$ and is smooth and Fano. One has that $f|_{f^{-1}(A_0)}: f^{-1}(A_0) \rightarrow A_0$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle: indeed, if there exists $y \in A_0$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is singular, then $y \in \Delta_f = A_1 \sqcup A_2 \sqcup \Delta_g$, but A_0 is disjoint by A_1, A_2 and Δ_g because by *Step 4* they are all fibers of Φ . Then, by Corollary 2.3 it follows that A_0 is Fano.

Now, using that $\text{NE}(\Phi)$ is generated by finitely many classes of rational curves (see [5, Lemma 2.6]) and that the general fiber of Φ is a Fano manifold, the same proof of [6, Lemma 4.9] yields that $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y'$ and $\Delta_g = \{\text{points}\} \times Y'$. $\square$

Step 6: We reach a contradiction.

Proof of Step 6. We have already shown that $\Delta_g = \{\text{points}\} \times Y'$, thus Δ_g is smooth, hence $\text{Sing}(\Delta_f) = \emptyset$ and by Corollary 2.3 one has that Y is Fano.

Being $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times Y'$, Y' is Fano too, so that each connected component of Δ_g is simply connected. But by a standard construction, the conic bundle g defines a double cover of every irreducible component of Δ_g , obtained by considering the components of the fibers in the appropriate Hilbert scheme of lines (see [3, §1.5] and [20, §1.17]). Since g is an elementary contraction, this double cover is non-trivial; on the other hand it is also étale, because every fiber of g is reduced and we have a contradiction. This concludes the proof of (2). $\square$

Proof of (3). We know that $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$, so there exists one pair of prime divisors $E_1, \hat{E}_1$ as in Proposition 3.6 such that $(E_1 + \hat{E}_1) = f^*(A_1)$. Moreover, by our assumption, $\Delta_g \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\tilde{\Delta}_g$ be the inverse image in X of Δ_g . We work with an irreducible component of this divisor that we still call $\tilde{\Delta}_g$.

The proof adopts the same techniques used in the previous point. If $X \cong S \times T$ where $\dim S = 2$, it is easy to check the statement by induction as in *Step 1*. Assume that $X \not\cong S \times T$, so that by [7, Theorem 1.1] we know that $\delta_X \leq 3$. On the other hand, using Lemma 4.1, we find that $\delta_X \geq 2$.

If $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) = 2$ one has $\mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) = E_1^\perp \cap \hat{E}_1^\perp$ and applying Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 to $\tilde{\Delta}_g$, we find a smooth prime divisor G_1

of X which is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber g_1 such that $G_1 \cdot g_1 = -1$, $\tilde{\Delta}_g \cdot g_1 > 0$, $G_1 \neq \tilde{\Delta}_g$, and a hyperplane of $\mathcal{N}_1(X)$ that is $\mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_1]$. Using the same method as in *Step 2* (replacing E_1 with $\tilde{\Delta}_g$), we deduce that G_1 dominates Y , and $f^*(A_1) \cdot g_1 > 0$.

Otherwise, if $\text{codim } \mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) = 3$, again by Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 applied to the divisor $\tilde{\Delta}_g$, we get two disjoint prime divisors of X , G_1 and G_2 . Every G_i is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with fiber $g_i \subset G_i$, and $G_i \cdot g_i = -1$. Moreover, $\tilde{\Delta}_g \cdot g_i > 0$, $[g_i] \notin \mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X)$, $G_i \neq \tilde{\Delta}_g$, and we find the hyperplane $\mathcal{N}_1(\tilde{\Delta}_g, X) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_1] \oplus \mathbb{R}[g_2]$.

Proceeding again as in *Step 2*, we prove that the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle G_i ($i = 1$ or $i = 2$) dominates Y . For simplicity, assume that it is G_1 .

In any case, we can proceed as done in *Step 3* to find the smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration ξ (we replace A_2 with A_1 and A_1 with Δ_g).

The situation is similar to that represented in Figure 3. Here the difference is that we have only one pair of prime divisors as in Proposition 3.5, and the other pair is replaced with the divisor $\tilde{\Delta}_g$. This concludes the proof of (3) and hence the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

We now give some corollaries of Theorem 4.2.

The first one is Corollary 1.2, that can be viewed as a criterion so that, given a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$, Y is also Fano.

Moreover, in the next section, we will apply it to show that some examples of Fano conic bundles are elementary.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. It is enough to prove that if $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$, then $\rho_Y \geq 3$. The other statements are immediate consequences of Theorem 4.2.

Thus, assume that $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$. Take a factorization of f as in Proposition 3.5 and denote by g the elementary conic bundle in this factorization.

By Corollary 2.3 we know that g is singular, hence by Proposition 3.6 we get two disjoint divisors in Y , A_1 and Δ_g . We prove that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(\Delta_g, Y) \geq 2$.

Since Y is not Fano, there exists an extremal ray R of Y such that $-K_Y \cdot R \leq 0$, and by Proposition 2.2 it follows that $\text{locus}(R) \subset \text{Sing}(\Delta_f)$. Assume by contradiction that $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(\Delta_g, Y) = 1$. Then all curves in Δ_g are numerically proportional, thus they are all contained in $\text{locus}(R)$ and we reach a contradiction because by [21, Lemma 4.1] we know that $\text{Sing}(\Delta_f)$ is properly contained in Δ_g . Thus $\dim \mathcal{N}_1(\Delta_g, X) \geq 2$ and since $\mathcal{N}_1(\Delta_g, X) \subseteq A_1^\perp$, we get $\rho_Y \geq 3$. $\square$

Looking at the fibers of the conic bundle, we can rephrase our result in terms of the discriminant divisor Δ_f .

Corollary 4.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle.*

If $\rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 3$, f has only reduced fibers. If $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ and f has non-reduced fibers, then Y has a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration.

Proof. For the first statement, by Proposition 3.6 (2), we recall that the fibers of f over the divisors A_i are singular but reduced, hence $f^{-1}(y)$ is non-reduced if and only if $g^{-1}(y)$ is.

If $\rho_X - \rho_Y \geq 3$, by Theorem 4.2 (2), g is a smooth contraction so there are not non-reduced fibers.

Now, $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ and f with non-reduced fibers means that $\Delta_g \neq \emptyset$, hence the statement follows by Theorem 4.2 (3). $\square$

We conclude this subsection proving Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Statement (1) is the same of Theorem 4.2.

To prove (2) it is enough to observe that if $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 3$, then by Corollary 4.3 it follows that f has only reduced fibers. The rest of statement was already shown in Theorem 4.2.

Finally, if $\rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$ and Y is not Fano, by Corollary 2.3 one has that f has non-reduced fibers. As we observed before, this means that g has non-reduced fibers, so that g is singular and we are in situation (3) of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

5. RELATED RESULTS AND EXAMPLES

As usual, given a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$, set $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y$.

In this section, keeping all the notation introduced until now, we give two examples: the first one that shows how in case $r = 3$ there exist Fano conic bundles from varieties that are not products and the second one in which we will apply our results, getting elementary Fano conic bundles.

To conclude, we will focus on the case $r = 2$.

5.1. Example of Fano conic bundle with $r = 3$ where X is not a product. Let X be the Fano threefold N.18 in [19, §12.8].

This X is obtained from the blow-up of three smooth rational curves in $Z = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{O}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$. Then $\rho_X = 5$.

Now, using the following result, we deduce that there exists a Fano conic bundle from X .

Theorem 5.1 (Mori-Mukai, [16], §9). *Let X be a Fano 3-fold. If $\rho_X \geq 5$ then X has a conic bundle structure $f: X \rightarrow S$ where S is a del Pezzo surface such that $S \not\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.*

Moreover, we get other information about the target S of our conic bundle, thanks to the following:

Theorem 5.2 ([16], Proposition 9.10). *Let $f: X \rightarrow S$ be a Fano conic bundle where $S \not\cong \mathbb{P}^2$, $S \not\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $S \not\cong \mathbb{F}_1$. Then f is trivial, i.e. $X \cong S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and f is the projection onto the first factor.*

In our case, X is not a product, so by Theorem 5.2 we must have that S is one of the three varieties. But by Theorem 5.1, $S \not\cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, so we have only two cases: $S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ or $S \cong \mathbb{F}_1$. The first isomorphism is impossible because we would have a Fano conic bundle with $r = 4$ from X that is not a product, against Theorem 4.2. Then $S \cong \mathbb{F}_1$ and $r = \rho_X - \rho_{\mathbb{F}_1} = 3$.

Notice that the target $\mathbb{F}_1$ has a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: \mathbb{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, as predicted by Theorem 4.2.

5.2. Examples of elementary Fano conic bundles. Wiśniewski gives an example of a Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in which Y is not a Fano variety (see [21, Example §4]). Here our goal is to show, using Theorem 4.2 and in particular Corollary 2.3, that f is an elementary conic bundle.

Wiśniewski's construction was generalized by Debarre (see [9, Example 3.16, (3)]) and goes as follows.

Let a and $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, set $m = 2a + 2b - 1$, let $\mathcal{E}$ be the vector bundle associated to the locally free sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(2a) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(2b)$, and let $Y = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^m}(\mathcal{E})$ (notice that in his example, Wiśniewski takes $a=b=1$, so that Y has dimension 5).

One has $\rho_Y = 2$, in particular $\mathcal{N}^1(Y)$ is generated by the class of a divisor D associated with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ and by the class of the inverse image H of a hyperplane in $\mathbb{P}^m$.

We get $-K_Y = 3D$, so $-K_Y$ is not ample, because D is a nef and big divisor, not ample (see for instance [14, §2.3, Lemma 2.3.2]).

Let $Z = \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{O}(D + aH) \oplus \mathcal{O}(D + bH) \oplus \mathcal{O}(D + (a + b)H)) \xrightarrow{\pi} Y$. Using again [14, Lemma 2.3.2], we get that $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$ is an ample line bundle and let us denote by G any divisor corresponding to it.

Wiśniewski proved that there exists a smooth divisor X in the linear system $|2G - 2(a + b)\pi^*H|$ on Z such that $X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fano conic bundle.

Now, by Corollary 2.3 it follows that there are two possibilities: $r = 2$ or $r = 1$. Using the same corollary we can deduce that $r = 1$ in two different ways: we can observe that $\rho_Y = 2$ or that Y does not have a smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration. Indeed, it has only two contractions: one of fiber type that is the $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ and the other one birational that is the anti-canonical contraction.

Moreover we point out that using this construction for every odd integer $m \geq 3$, one gets always elementary Fano conic bundles onto varieties Y that are $\mathbb{P}^2$ -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^m$ and with $-K_Y$ nef and big.

5.3. Complements on case $r = 2$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Fano conic bundle where $r := \rho_X - \rho_Y = 2$, then by Proposition 3.6 we get two possible factorizations for f . Take one among these, and let g be the elementary conic bundle of this factorization.

The author knows no example of such Fano conic bundle $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with g singular. Assume that we are in such a case and that $\delta_X \geq 4$. By [7, Theorem 1.1] we know that $X \cong S \times T$ where $\dim S = 2$ and by induction on the dimension (as done in *Step 1* in the proof of Theorem 4.2), we find that X is a product between a finite number of del Pezzo surfaces and another Fano variety T such that $\delta_T \leq 3$. In particular, f is induced by a conic bundle $f': T \rightarrow T'$ such that $\rho_T - \rho_{T'} = 2$, and if we consider a factorization of f' as in Proposition 3.6, the elementary conic bundle in this factorization is singular. Therefore, to study the case in which $r = 2$ and g is singular, it makes sense to focus on the case in which $\delta_X \leq 3$.

In this context, by the existence of the two disjoint divisors A_1 and Δ_g in Y that are horizontal for the smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration $\xi: Y \rightarrow Y'$ of Theorem 4.2 (3), we get the following result which gives other information about the geometric properties of the same divisors.

Proposition 5.3. *Notation as in Proposition 3.6. Assume that $X \not\cong S \times T$, where S is a del Pezzo surface. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fano conic bundle with $r = 2$ and such that $\Delta_g \neq \emptyset$. Then either $\delta_X = 3$ or $\delta_X = 2$ and the following hold:*

- (1) Δ_g is not a section of ξ ;
- (2) the numerical classes $[\Delta_g]$ and $[A_1]$ are linearly independent in $\mathcal{N}^1(Y)$;
- (3) if Δ is an irreducible component of Δ_g , then for every divisor $D \subset Y$ one has $D \cap (\Delta \cup A_1) \neq \emptyset$;
- (4) Δ_g is connected, so that Δ_f has exactly two connected components: A_1 that is smooth and Δ_g that contains $\text{Sing}(\Delta_f)$.

Proof. Using [7, Theorem 1.1] and Lemma 4.1 we find that either $\delta_X = 3$ or $\delta_X = 2$.

We prove (1) by contradiction: if Δ_g is a section of ξ , one has that $\Delta_g \cong Y'$, hence Δ_g is smooth and simply connected, which is impossible. Indeed, as we have already observed in *Step 6* in the proof of Theorem 4.2, g would define a double non-trivial étale cover over every irreducible component of Δ_g .

We show (2). Assume by contradiction that $\Delta_g \equiv aA_1$, with $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Being $\Delta_g \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, Δ_g and A_1 are nef divisors of Y and as we explained in the proof of Theorem 4.2 (1), they give a contraction $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ that sends them to points. We can proceed exactly as done in *Step 5* and in *Step 6* in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Here the only difference is that we are working with only one pair of divisors as in Proposition 3.5, but we get a contradiction in the same way: Δ_g would be smooth and simply connected.

To prove (3), assume that there exists a divisor $D \subset Y$ and an irreducible component Δ of Δ_g such that $D \cap (\Delta \cup A_1) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{N}_1(\Delta, Y) \subseteq D^\perp$ and $\mathcal{N}_1(A_1, Y) \subseteq D^\perp$.

By proof of Theorem 4.2, we get $A_1 \cdot g'_1 = (E_1 + \hat{E}_1) \cdot g_1 > 0$, where $g'_1 = f(g_1)$ spans the extremal ray whose contraction is the smooth $\mathbb{P}^1$ -fibration ξ required by the same theorem. Hence [18, Lemma 3.2] implies that $\mathcal{N}_1(Y) = \mathcal{N}_1(A_1, Y) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g'_1]$.

The same holds taking the divisor Δ , thus $\mathcal{N}_1(Y) = \mathcal{N}_1(\Delta, Y) \oplus \mathbb{R}[g'_1]$.

At this point we know that both $\mathcal{N}_1(\Delta, Y)$ and $\mathcal{N}_1(A_1, Y)$ have codimension 1 in $\mathcal{N}_1(Y)$, so that $\mathcal{N}_1(\Delta, Y) = \mathcal{N}_1(A_1, Y) = D^\perp$. But $\Delta \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, thus Δ and A_1 are nef divisors, and using that $\mathcal{N}_1(\Delta, Y) = \mathcal{N}_1(A_1, Y)$ it is easy to check that for every irreducible curve $C \subset \Delta$, one has $\Delta \cdot C = 0$ and the same holds for A_1 . Therefore, Δ and A_1 give the same contraction $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ that sends them to points and we reach a contradiction as in (2).

To show (4) assume by contradiction that Δ_g is not connected, and let Δ_1, Δ_2 be two among its connected components. Then Δ_i are divisors of Y for $i = 1, 2$, $\Delta_1 \cap (\Delta_2 \cup A_1) = \emptyset$, and this contradicts (3).

Finally, the rest of the statement follows by Proposition 3.6 (2). $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. ALEXEEV. Higher dimensional analogues of stable curves. *Proceedings of Madrid ICM2006, Eur. Math. Soc. Pub. House*, pages 515–536, 2006.
- [2] T. ANDO. On extremal rays of the higher-dimensional varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 81:347–357, 1985.
- [3] A. BEAUVILLE. Varieties de Prym et Jacobiennes intermédiaires. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, pages 309–391, 1977.
- [4] C. BIRKAR, P. CASCINI, C.D. HACON, and J. MCKERNAN. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23:405–468, 2010.
- [5] C. CASAGRANDE. Quasi-elementary contractions of Fano manifolds. *Compos. Math.*, 144:1429–1460, 2008.
- [6] C. CASAGRANDE. On Fano manifolds with a birational contraction sending a divisor to a curve. *Michigan Math. J.*, 58:783–805, 2009.
- [7] C. CASAGRANDE. On the Picard number of divisors in Fano manifold. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 45:363–403, 2012.
- [8] C. CASAGRANDE. On some Fano manifolds admitting a rational fibration. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 90:1–28, 2014.
- [9] O. DEBARRE. *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [10] S. EJIRI. Positivity of anti-canonical divisors and F-purity of fibers. ArXiv:1604.02022v2, 2016.
- [11] R. HARTSHORNE. *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer-Verlag New York, 1997.
- [12] Y. HU and S. KEEL. Mori dream space and GIT. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:331–348, 2000.
- [13] J. KOLLÁR and S. MORI. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*. Cambridge Tracts in Math., 134, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [14] R. LAZARSFELD. *Positivity algebraic geometry I*. *Ergebn. Math. Grenz.* Springer, 2004.
- [15] S. MORI and S. MUKAI. Classification of Fano 3-folds with $b_2 \geq 2$. *Manuscripta Math.*, 36:147–162, 1981. *Erratum*, *Manuscripta Math.*, 110:407, 2003.
- [16] S. MORI and S. MUKAI. On Fano 3-folds with $b_2 \geq 2$. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics 1*, pages 101–129, 1983.
- [17] G. DELLA NOCE. On the Picard number of singular Fano varieties. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, pages 955–990, 2014.
- [18] G. OCCHETTA. A characterization of products of projective spaces. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 49:270–280, 2006.
- [19] A.N. PARSHIN and I.R. SHAFAREVICH. *Algebraic Geometry V: Fano Varieties*. *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*, Springer, 1999.
- [20] V.G. SARKISOV. On conic bundle structures. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 20:355–390, 1983.
- [21] J.A. WIŚNIEWSKI. On contractions of extremal rays of Fano manifolds. *J. reine angew. Math.*, 417:141–157, 1991.

UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIA CARLO ALBERTO 10,
10123 TORINO - ITALY

E-mail address: `eleonoraanna.romano@unito.it`